

Issue | Background | Findings | Conclusions | Recommendations | Responses | Attachments

Summary of Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts

Issue

How can the public school districts in San Mateo County be better prepared to care for students should a disaster occur while students are in attendance at school?

Summary

The San Mateo County Grand Jury (Grand Jury) surveyed all 23 elementary and high school districts, and all responded. The nine-question survey was designed to obtain answers to fundamental questions dealing with basic preparations, communication techniques, and general awareness. The Grand Jury found that all school districts have in place emergency plans for the care of students should a disaster or other emergency take place during school hours. There is considerable variation among districts.



Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts

Issue

How can the public school districts in San Mateo County be better prepared to care for students should a disaster occur while students are attending school?

Background

The 2005-2006 San Mateo County Grand Jury (Grand Jury) embarked on a county-wide inquiry to determine the adequacy of preparations for a disaster in the County. One area of inquiry focused on the preparedness of the public school districts and their provisions for the care of students should a disaster occur while children are attending school. The public schools of the county include 17 K-8 districts, three K-12 districts, and three high school districts and serve 88,015 students. Caring for those students until they can be safely reunited with their parents is a huge responsibility and requires thoughtful and thorough preparation. Such preparation includes plans to ensure the safe evacuation of the site; to provide stocks of first aid, food, and temporary or alternate shelter; and to communicate with emergency personnel and parents.

Investigation

All 23 elementary and high school districts responded to a survey that consisted of nine questions, several of which had two parts. The survey was designed to obtain answers to basic questions. The investigation did not include review of detailed plans or other documentation. (The questionnaire and summary of responses can be found in the appendices to this report.)

Findings

- All districts have a plan for caring for children in the event of an emergency.
 Several districts are in the process of revising and standardizing the plan for all schools they administer.
- Among the potential disasters for which schools prepare are fire, earthquake, intruder-on-campus, bomb threat, hazardous materials incident, civil defense threat, windstorm, or flood.
- Most districts review their emergency plans annually and conduct periodic drills
 or simulations with students, ranging from monthly fire drills to annual or semiannual earthquake or intruder drills. Reviews are conducted at various times of
 the year.
- The full-time employees of all districts are trained and understand their assigned responsibilities to care for children in the event of an emergency. Training is conducted annually.
- With respect to holding students for an extended period of time, there is wide variation among districts. The time periods range from a few hours to three days (72 hours). Several school districts have committed to holding students "until parents arrive to pick up children."
- Most schools expect to communicate with parents through cellular phones or land-line telephones. A variety of phone trees, automated calling systems, and radio station broadcasts were mentioned as alternate communication methods.
- Several schools can communicate with police or fire departments directly by radio and do not depend on telephones.
- Nearly all districts inform their students of what to expect in case of an emergency or disaster.
- High schools release older students (grades 9 12) on their own; elementary schools release students only to parents or other designated individuals.
- Among special preparations are the following:
 - o Walkie-talkies to connect to emergency lines
 - Each classroom equipped with an emergency backpack containing basic first aid supplies and emergency contact and release information for each child in the class
 - O Students bringing their own disaster kits with boxed drinks, granola bars, and other snacks to be stored at the school
 - O Availability of a book of student photographs for emergency personnel to use

Conclusions

The Grand Jury found that all school districts have emergency plans for the care of students should a disaster or other emergency occur during school hours. There is variation among the plans, and several areas that warrant attention are outlined in the following recommendations. The Grand Jury concluded that it was important that parents be aware of emergency plans.

Recommendations

The Grand Jury recommends that all school districts in San Mateo County review the following recommendations and comply as soon as possible.

- 1. At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.
- 2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.
- 3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

APPENDIX A

School Emergency Preparedness Survey Questionnaire

The San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury, in response to elevated concerns regarding Disaster Preparedness, is approaching government agencies across the entire county to assess the level of preparedness. The following questionnaire is designed specifically for public school districts, and is designed to be easy to answer and return with little, if any, need for attached documents.

| 1. | Is there an emergency plan in place at each facility housing children in your district should a disaster occur during hours when children are present? |
|--------|--|
| | With what types of disasters is your school district presently prepared to deal? Please list. |
| 2. | Are all sites/facilities equally prepared? If not, is there a plan to ensure that? |
| 3. | When was the plan at each facility last reviewed or updated? How frequently is there a drill or practice? |
| 4. | Are there assigned responsibilities for full time regular employees to care for children in the event there is a disaster during school hours when children are in attendance? |
| 5. | Have the employees been trained and understand their responsibilities? When? |
| 6. | How long is each facility prepared to hold students? |
| 7. | What are the provisions for communication with parents or guardians and subsequent release of students if an emergency occurs during school hours? (describe briefly) |
| 8. | Do students understand the expectations of the plan, specifically those relating to being released from school? |
| | What differences are there for high school, middle school, or elementary schools? |
| Are th | ere any preparations unique to your district which you would like to have shared |

with other schools and districts?

APPENDIX B

Summary of Survey Responses Sheet 1

| | Bayshore S.D. | Belmont- Redwood Shores Elem. S.D. | Burlingame S.D. | Cabrillo Unified S.D. | Hillsborough City School District | Jefferson S.D. | Jefferson Union H.S. District | Las Lomitas S.D. |
|---|---------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1. Emergency Plan for Children | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 2. All Sites | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 3a. Last Plan Review | Apr-05 | Annually | Sep-05 | Oct-05 | Sep-05 | Spring 2005 | Several years ago | Spring 2004/Fall 2005 |
| 3b. Drill | Monthly | Annually | Monthly | Monthly | Monthly | Fire- monthly, EQ-4x, Armed Int- 2x/yr | Fire/EQ/lo ckdown annually, larger scale not | Monthly |
| 4. Full Time Emp. Assigned to Care for Children in Emerg. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 5a. Employees Trained | Yes | Yes | Some | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes except Long term disasters | Yes |
| 5b. When Training Conducted | Faculty meetings | 11/29/05- 3/26/06 | ? | Annually | Annually | Annually | Annually | Annually - First Aid every 2 yrs |
| 6. Duration of Emergency Care | 1 Day | 72 Hours | Until pickup | 24 hours | 24 hrs | 72 hours | 1 day | 24 hours |
| 7. Communication Provisions w/Parents | Telephone | Telephone | Cell phones, walkie- talkies, NIT | Phone tree | Cell phones, text messaging, phone trees | Communica te with those on emergency list | Telephone | KGO 810 radio |
| 8a. Are Students Informed | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 6-8 grade yes, K-5 uncertain | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 8b. Differences | Similar | No | No | HS released w/o parent, others with parent | No | No | No | Only elem. has special name tags and color coded areas |
| 9. Unique Preparations | NA | | Aircraft crash/Smo g/Wildland fire/Tidal wave/Wind storm | HS is Red Cross Evac. Facility for all schools | No | Walkie- Talkies within & to emergency line & administrati on | Simultane ous telephone to all w/ emergenc y # | Follow SEMS & all of ICS |

APPENDIX B

Summary of Survey Responses Sheet 2

| | Menlo Park City S.D. | Millbrae S.D. | Pacifica S.D. | Portola Valley S.D. | Ravenswood City S.D. | Redwood City S.D. | San Bruno Park S.D. | San Carlos S.D. |
|---|-------------------------|---|------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1. Emergency Plan for Children | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 2. All Sites | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Somewhat | No | Yes |
| 3a. Last Plan Review | Oct-05 | Each Fall | May-05 | Dec-05 | Currently being reviewed | 1/year | Oct-05 | Yearly |
| 3b. Drill | Quarterly | Fire monthly, EQ & Intruder- 2x/yr | Monthly | Monthly w/2 full senarios per year | Minimum of each semester | Fire 1/mo, Eqrthquake 1/yr | Monthly | Monthly |
| Full Time Emp. Assigned to Care for Children in Emerg. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 5a. Employees Trained | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Some | Yes with backups | Yes | Yes |
| 5b. When Training Conducted | Annually | Annually | Apr-05 | Oct-05 | Sep-05 | ? | New employee | Yearly |
| 6. Duration of Emergency Care | 3 Days | 1 Day | 2-3 days | 3 days | 1 Day | Until parents arrive | Some a few hours, two sites for 3 days | 48 hours |
| 7. Communication Provisions w/Parents | ? | ? | Telephone s | Automate d telephone system | Telephone & cell phone | KNBR 680 or KIQI 1010 | ? | Cell phone |
| 8a. Are Students Informed | Yes | Ues | Yes | Yes | Not well | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 8b. Differences | No | No | No | Elem w/teacher s, middle school w/grade level teams | No | No | No? | few, if any |
| 9. Unique Preparations | No | ? | No | 911 phone call system great | No | No | "Cheat Sheets" for subs, students bring own disaster kits | no |

APPENDIX B

Summary of Survey Responses Sheet 3

| | San Mateo- Foster City Elem. S.D. | San Mateo Union H.S. District | S. San Francisco Unified S.D. | Woodside Elem. S.D. | Brisbane S.D. | La Honda- Pescadero Unified S.D. | Sequoia Union H.S. District |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Emergency Plan for Children | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Updating | Yes |
| 2. All Sites | No | Yes? | No | Yes | Yes | No, revising | No, revising |
| 3a. Last Plan Review | , | Annually | Currently being reviewed | Spring 2005 | Fall 2005 | Dec-05 | Jan '06 |
| 3b. Drill | Monthly | Monthly | See schedule | Yes | Monthly | Monthly | Monthly |
| 4. Full Time Emp. Assigned to Care for Children in Emerg. | Yes | Yes | Assigning now | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 5a. Employees Trained | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | Yes |
| 5b. When Training Conducted | at staff meetings | Annually & Monthly | 2 schools in Nov 2005 Others? | Monthly | Nov 2005 | Not yet | Annually |
| 6. Duration of Emergency Care | 72 Hours | 72 Hours | 12 to 24 hours | 48 hours | 24 Hours | As long as necessary | 1-2 days |
| 7. Communication Provisions w/Parents | ? | Contact ed system, telephone | District intra-net | Local radio, telephone tree | Telephone | Student release procedure | Cell phone |
| 8a. Are Students Informed | | Yes | Will be establishin g procedure s | Yes | Yes | Will train students this year | Yes |
| 8b. Differences | No | No | ? | No | No | No | No |
| 9. Unique Preparations | No | No | In process of new template & handbook by 4/06 & will share | n/a | Photo book of students | No | No |

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

ANITA FLETCHER RACHEL GARIBALDI THERESA GERIGK CECIL T. OWENS EDITH RENDEROS

SUPERINTENDENT STEPHEN J. WATERMAN, ESQ.

> PRINCIPAL NORMAN FOBERT

July 24, 2006

Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center; 2nd floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Re: Response to Grand Jury, Disaster Preparedness

Dear Judge Hall:

Below is the district's response to the Grand Jury's recommendations:

1. At the beginning of each school year the district should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel:

The district agrees with this finding. Principals have been notified to review their plans with their staffs during the month of September.

2. Emergency Communication Equipment at each site to communicate directly with the police and fire departments

The district agrees that this could be helpful, but partially disagrees with the finding in that it believes the cost of such a communication system is prohibitive for the small schools and the small district. Bayshore's resources are already stretched very tight. The district's schools are less than four blocks from the nearest fire station. We believe that in a major disaster such that phone lines and cell phones would be out of service, the district could make direct contact with the police and fire departments by walking to the nearby station. We have a communication system that can reach the fire department with extra units. We would bring one unit to the station to maintain contact during the emergency.

3. Districts should inform parents where to get emergency information

The district agrees. Parents are informed annually that it will inform KGO at AM-810 regarding emergency information. The district also uses the SBC messaging system, so that it can leave a message at the district's phone number regardless of whether the district phones are working.

Sincerely,



BOARD OF TRUSTEES

ANITA FLETCHER RACHEL GARIBALDI THERESA GERIGK CECIL T. OWENS EDITH RENDEROS

SUPERINTENDENT

STEPHEN J. WATERMAN, ESQ.

PRINCIPAL NORMAN FOBERT

Stephen J, Waterman Superintendent



BELMONT-REDWOOD SHORES SCHOOL DISTRICT

BOARD OF TRUSTEES Jeni Benelli Karen Clancy Bill Davidovich Eric Shrader Cathy Wright

Dr. Emerita Orta-Camilleri Superintendent (650) 637-4800

Jeannie Bosley

Assistant Superintendent, Curriculum and Instruction (650) 637-4800

Nellie Hungerford

Assistant Superintendent, Business Services and Operations (650) 637-4800

Anne LePage

Director of Special Education (650) 637-4800

CENTRAL SCHOOL Cori McKenzie, Principal 525 Middle Road, Belmont 650-637-4820

CIPRIANI SCHOOL Lisa Zachry, Principal 2525 Buena Vista Avenue, Belmont 650-637-4840

FOX SCHOOL Chris Marchetti, Principal 3100 St. James Road, Belmont 650-637-4850

NESBIT SCHOOL Cherie Ho, Principal 500 Biddulph Way, Belmont 650-637-4860

RALSTON MIDDLE SCHOOL Maggie O'Reilly, Principal 2675 Ralston Avenue, Belmont 650-637-4880

SANDPIPER SCHOOL Sue Wieser, Principal 801 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood City, CA 94065 650-631-5510

District Office: 2960 Hallmark Drive Belmont, California 94002-2999 (650) 637-4800 October 5, 2006

Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

RE: Response to Grand Jury, Disaster Preparedness

Dear Judge Hall:

Below you will find our responses to the recommendations of the Grand Jury regarding the Belmont-Redwood Shores School District in San Mateo County.

Recommendations

1. At the beginning of the school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.

Belmont-Redwood Shores School District agrees fully with the finding of the Grand Jury. Belmont-Redwood Shores School District has and will continue to implement this recommendation at the beginning of each school year. Currently, each school in Belmont-Redwood Shores School District reviews the emergency plan with staff going over disaster preparedness procedures, exits and safety codes.

2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

Communication is a critical piece of our plans and is reviewed on an on-going basis for improvements. In addition to land lines and cellular lines, we have walkie-talkies in place to communicate with our safety services as well as with each other. On Tuesday mornings, equipment is inspected for reliability and adequate batteries by the office secretaries. In addition, there is a Tuesday morning practice at 8:45 to 9:00 a.m. for all school sites and the District Office to respond to the police department, via walkie-talkie, to verify that communication is clear.

3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

The Belmont-Redwood Shores School District agrees with the recommendation. The recommendation has been implemented and has been a part of the annual back-to-school written information packet. In addition, information is reviewed periodically in PTA and school newsletters.

Respectfully submitted,

Dr. Emerita Orta-Camilleri

Superintendent

EOC:nh

Board of Trustees
Joseph Blank
Diane Crampton
Robert Dettmer
Christine Evers
Thomas Ledda

Superintendent Stephen J. Waterman, Esq.

July 24, 2006

Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center; 2nd floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Re: Response to Grand Jury, Disaster Preparedness

Dear Judge Hall:

Below is the district's response to the Grand Jury's recommendations:

1. At the beginning of each school year the district should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel:

The district agrees with this finding. Principals have been notified to review their plans with their staffs during the month of September.

2. Emergency Communication Equipment at each site to communicate directly with the police and fire departments

The district partially agrees with this finding. It agrees that this system would be optimal. However, because of the district's size, it lacks the resources to provide this service. Moreover, in an emergency during which all phone lines and cell phones are disabled, the Brisbane Police Department plans to send an officer to Should this not be possible, the district can and each school. would send a representative to the police department by foot if necessary. This would take approximately 6 minutes at a walking The district has communication equipment it will use to connect the two Brisbane Schools with the Police Department. still working on an adequate communication system for the third school (located in Daly City) in the worst case scenario - when the streets are unusable and the phones do not function. Temporarily, we have designated a place approximately two blocks from that school where the district's local communication system could link to the school.

3. Districts should inform parents where to get emergency information

The district agrees. Parents are informed annually that the district will provide information to KGO at AM-810 for emergency information.

Respectfully submitted,



Board of Trustees
Joseph Blank
Diane Crampton
Robert Dettmer
Christine Evers
Thomas Ledda

Superintendent Stephen J. Waterman, Esq.

Stephen J. Waterman Superintendent

BURLINGAME SCHOOL DISTRICT

September 5, 2006

Honorable Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Re: DISASTER PREPAREDNESS IN SAN MATEO COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICTS GRAND JURY REPORT

Dear Judge Stephen Hall,

The Burlingame School District agrees with the findings in your letter dated July 19, 2006.

The recommendations in the report have been implemented as follows:

At the beginning of each school year principals at all schools in the Burlingame School District review their emergency plans and update the training of their personnel.

Each school site in the Burlingame School District has emergency communication equipment including cell phones, walkie-talkies and an emergency notification system provided by the NIT Group, Inc.

Burlingame School District will be informing parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and will spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster beginning with the 2006/07 school year.

Sincerely,

Marc Friedman President Board of Trustees of Burlingame School District Honorable Stephen M. Hall Judge of the superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Re: Response to the 2005-2006 Grand Jury Report filed on July 19, 2006

Dear Judge Hall,

Below you will find our responses to the recommendations of the Grand Jury regarding the Cabrillo Unified School District in San Mateo County.

Recommendations

1. At the beginning of the school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.

Cabrillo Unified School District agrees fully with the finding of the Grand Jury. Cabrillo Unified has and will continue to implement this recommendation at the beginning of the school year.

2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

Cabrillo Unified School District agrees fully with the finding of the Grand Jury but finds it difficult to maintain communication devices on the San Mateo Coastside. We were fortunate last year to have the San Mateo County Sheriff's Department provide a communication system, however, it appears to be more one-way than two-ways. We will look into providing a more reliable two-way source of communication in the fall 2006.

3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

Page 2 2005-06 Grand Jury response

Cabrillo Unified School District also agrees with finding #3. This recommendation has been implemented and will continue to be implemented at the schools. It will be discussed at Back to School Night and is included in annual letters sent home advising parents of students rights.

We appreciate the time the Grand Jury has spent and the reviewing of the summary of emergency disaster plans in San Mateo County public schools.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact my office.

Sincerely,

John Bayless, Ed.D Superintendent

JB/cmd

August 7, 2006

Dear Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center; 2nd Floor Redwood City, Ca. 94063-1655

Thank you for the Grand Jury's report concerning the preparedness of the schools in San Mateo County for a disaster. Obviously we all hope that none of us will be faced with implementing the procedures we have in place but your survey does show that our schools do have plans for contending with a disaster. The President of our Governing Board of our school district has asked me to respond to your report.

The Hillsborough City School District agrees with all of the recommendations from the Grand Jury report. All of the recommendations have been implemented in this school district and below is a summary with regard to all of the recommendations.

The Hillsborough City School District has a Disaster Preparedness Plan. The plan is updated annually and these plans are reviewed with staff annually. Staff receives training in first aide and CPR with annual or bi-annual refresher courses. Disaster preparedness is practiced with our students monthly. We will continue these practices in the future.

Communication is a critical piece of our plans and continually needs to be reviewed. In addition to land lines and cellular lines we do have walkie-talkies in place to communicate with our safety services as well as each other. We do inform our parents annually about our procedure for both housing and releasing students in the case of a disaster. Each school has enough supplies to provide basic food and water for 72 hours. There are basic supplies for shelter located at each school site. These provisions are reviewed annually and there is a regular maintenance schedule for updating all supplies. Disaster manuals are located in each classroom and in all of the school offices. The manuals contain directions for actions and procedures, location of school and emergency services, and useful telephone numbers.

I hope this summary is helpful to the Grand Jury in its attempts to clarify the status of disaster preparedness in this county.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Loushin-Miller Superintendent of the Hillsborough City School District 300 El Cerrito Avenue Hillsborough, CA 94010



Governing Board Marie Brizuela Anthony Dennis Hans E. Hansen K, Annette Hipona Marianne E. Petroni

Jefferson School District

Martin Luther King Jr. Education Center 101 Lincoln Ave. • Daly City, CA 94015 (650) 746-2420 • Fax (650) 997-0273 Web Address: www.jsd.k12.ca.us

> Superintendent Barbara B. Wilson, Ph.D.

RESPONSE TO GRAND JURY – October 1, 2006

Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Grand Jury Report

The Jefferson School District agrees with the findings of the Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County report. We have the following responses to the report's recommendations.

1. At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.

Each school in the Jefferson School District has its emergency plan reviewed and revised regularly. The revised plan is reviewed and approved by the site School Site Council and the District's Governing Board. The emergency plans are reviewed with the staff at each site at the beginning of the school year.

2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

All school sites, the District Office, and the Maintenance Department are equipped with walkie-talkies. Each walkie-talkie can access local police and fire departments. In addition, the north county fire district has its headquarters on the District Office's parking lot, and a fire station is directly across from the District Office. Therefore, any communication from a school site to the District office can be immediately relayed to the fire department.

3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

The District will prepare an article for parents/guardians that lets parents/guardians know that telephone communication may be disrupted in an emergency and will explain how schools will provide for children. The communication will be distributed in school site newsletters and in the District newsletter. This recommendation will be implemented by February 2007.

Jefferson Union High School District

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES - SERRAMONTE DEL REY

699 Serramonte Boulevard, Suite 100 Daly City, CA 94015-4132 650-550-7900 • FAX 650-550-7888

Board of Trustees

Jean E. Brink Rachel P. Juliana Maria S. Luna David K. Mineta Thomas A. Nuris

Michael J. Crilly Superintendent

September 11, 2006

The Honorable Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, California 94063-1655

Dear Judge Hall:

In response to your July 19th 2005-06 Grand Jury findings and recommendations pertaining *Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts*.

The Jefferson Union High School District is in concurrence with the three recommendations:

Recommendation #1

At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel

Response: The Jefferson Union High School District has provided a review and update for district administrations and has met with the North County Fire Authority regarding additional preparedness and training. Our plans are being updated as necessary.

Recommendation #2

Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

Response: The District has had a variety of wireless communication devices over the years and is currently looking into additional systems which will guarantee communication with police and fire in the event of an emergency.

The District also maintains a telephone communication system which is normally used to notify parents of attendance issues and special events. This communication system is web-based and could be operated from an external site even though district systems may be unable to be accessed.

The Honorable Stephen M. Hall September 11, 2006 Page 2

Recommendation #3

Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

Response: The District is currently formulating a special communication to parents which will be placed in a school newsletter, explaining the procedures that would be utilized in the event of an emergency. We would expect to have this information published by early November in conjunction with the mailing of the respective parent newsletters.

We will endeavor to continue our planning and communication with local police and fire departments and hope to never have to utilize these procedures.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Crilly Superintendent



LA HONDA-PESCADERO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT P.O. Box 189 • 620 North Street, Pescadero, CA 94060 650-879-0286 • FAX 650-879-0816

Timothy A. Beard, Superintendent

A Lighthouse District

October 31, 2006

Hon. Steven M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Dear Judge Hall:

This letter is in response to the 2005-06 County Grand Jury report on "Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts". The La Honda-Pescadero Unified School District has considered the Grand Jury's findings and submits this letter in response to the recommendations to school districts.

Our school district, which has an enrollment of less than 400 students in grades K-12, covers a large geographical area along the southern coast of San Mateo County. Because of our isolation and the lack of local services in this part of the county, we are especially concerned about the safety of students in the event of an emergency.

The district's emergency plan is currently being revised to address a range of safety issues from intruder attacks to bird flu. We will incorporate the findings of the Grand Jury report in the development of our revised plan. The timeline for completing this task is as follows:

September-November Revise district plan

December 8 Governing Board approves final plan

January 2007 Staff training in implementing emergency plan
January 2007 Information to parents about emergency procedures

The La Honda-Pescadero Unified School District agrees with the Grand Jury that the safety of students in our schools is of the utmost importance, and the findings of this report will be helpful to us as we revise our plan to prepare for emergencies that may occur in our area.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Beard, District Superintendent



Administrative Offices

1011 Altschul Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025 (650) 854-2880 www.llesd.k12.ca.us

Las Lomitas School

299 Alameda de las Pulgas Atherton, CA 94027 (650) 854-5900

[Kindergarten – Grade 3]

La Entrada School2200 Sharon Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 854-3962
[Grades 4-8]

July 25, 1006

Honorable Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center: 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Dear Judge Hall:

The following comments are in response to the Grand Jury Findings and Recommendations related to Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Schools:

The Grand Jury recommends that all school districts in San Mateo County review the following recommendations and comply as soon as possible:

- 1. At the beginning of each school year, each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.
 - We agree with the finding. The recommendation has been implemented and has been a regular, annual practice for many years.
- 2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.
 - We agree with the finding. The recommendation has been partially implemented. We have "independent" emergency communication equipment that provides communication among the school sites and the district office. We are awaiting the allocation of a band width / contact provision from both the police and fire departments.
- 3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

We agree with the finding. The recommendation has been implemented and has been a part of our annual back-to-school information for many years.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Somerville District Superintendent



<u>District Office</u>
181 Encinal Avenue
Atherton, California 94027
650-321-7140
FAX: 650-321-7184
www.mpcsd.org

Board of Education
Bruce Ives
Carol Orton
Laura Rich
Nancy Serrurier
Terry Thygesen

A Community of educators, scholars, parents and staff working together to inspire, serve, challenge and enrich all students

Kenneth Ranella, Superintendent

Jo Sauer Mitchell, Asst.Supt/Curriculum & Instruction

Diane White, Chief Business Official

Olivia Mandlik, Dir. Of Student Services

Jim Bowlby Dir. Of Technology

Kathryn Tinio, Human Resources

Ahmad Sheikholeslami Facility Program Manager

Dennis Hatfield
Supervisor Maintenance,
Transportation,
Operations

October 6, 2006

Honorable Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

From Grand Jury Summary:

"The Grand Jury recommends that all school districts in San Mateo County review the following recommendations and comply as soon as possible.

- 1. At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.
- 2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.
- 3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster."

The Menlo Park City School District agrees with the finding of the 2005-06 Grand Jury regarding Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts.

A District administrator has been assigned to and is currently in the process of reviewing the District's emergency plan and updating it as appropriate for the 2006-07 school year.

- 1. Annually, by October 17, the following District Emergency Preparedness Plan forms are completed by each school in the District and submitted to the District Safety Coordinator and the School-site PTO Emergency Preparedness representative:
 - Principals' Checklist
 - Drill Schedule
 - Site Hazard Survey
 - Staff Emergency Team Roster
 - Site Evacuation Map

- 2. All parents are notified in writing at the beginning of the school year that the District will attempt to contact radio or television stations to convey District information if communications to the outside are not disrupted.
- 3. At the beginning of each school year, parents receive information in writing regarding the specifics of each school's emergency plan.

Respectfully,

Kenneth J. Ranella Superintendent



Millbrae School District

555 Richmond Drive, Millbrae, CA 94030

650-697-5693 • 650-697-6865 (fax) • http://www.smcoe.k12.ca.us/msd/do/

KAREN K. PHILIP Superintendent NANCY PALMER Chief Business Official SHARON DEBIAGIO
Director of Curriculum

TRACEY WALSH
Director of Special Services

August 28, 2006

Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Dear Judge Hall:

The Millbrae School District has received the Grand Jury report entitled "Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts."

Disaster Preparedness and Training is a high priority in the Millbrae School District. Please note that for all Grand Jury recommendations, the Millbrae School District agrees, and currently meets or exceeds implementation for all recommendations.

Sincerely,

Karen K. Philip Superintendent

ar



Pacifica School District

375 Reina Del Mar Avenue • Pacifica, California 94044 (650) 738-6600 • (650) 557-9672 (fax)

Academic Excellence ★ Standards for Success

www.pacificasd.org

Board of Trustees
Avram Frankel, P.E
Betsy Massie
Connie Menefee
Michael O'Neill
Joan Weideman

District Administration

James Lianides Superintendent Susan Vickrey Assistant Superintendent Josephine Peterson Chief Business Official

October 2, 2006

Honorable Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Re: Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts

Dear Judge Hall:

On behalf of the Pacifica School District, Board of Trustees, we submit the following response to the 2005-06 Grand Jury report of July 19, 2006 which contains findings and recommendations pertaining to the Pacifica School District.

The Pacifica School District agrees with the findings. Actions for recommendations are as follows:

Recommendation

1. The recommendation was implemented (already in place).

District reviews Emergency Plan in the Fall and Spring as staff prepares for the annual emergency drill.

2. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not reasonable.

Specifically, the geography of Pacifica includes natural obstacles to communication equipment that will operate independently of land-line or cellular phone systems. For example, Ortega and Sunset Ridge schools are located among the hills of Pacifica. The District has explored alternatives to walkie-talkies. It is developing its fiber system and ways to maintain it for several hours through batteries and generators. Sites within the District will be able to e-mail emergency information.

The District has met with the North Coast County Water District, Pacifica Police Department and Pacifica Fire Department to discuss ways to integrate communication systems. After a major disaster, schools will become an emergency center for the community.

It is the recommendation of the Pacifica School District Board of Trustees to alert the Grand Jury that the District has specific, geographical issues in Pacifica that the District is working to overcome before it can implement the Grand Jury's recommendation. Additionally, the Pacifica School District recommends that the Grand Jury seek Homeland Security funding for an integrated communications system among the District Office and school sites, which in the event of a major disaster, will serve as shelters for the community.

3. The recommendation was implemented.

Each principal informed parents in writing through site newsletters this Fall.

If you have any questions regarding the response of the Pacifica School District, please do not hesitate to contact the Office of the Superintendent at 650.738.6625. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Original Signature Sent Via US Mail

James Lianides Superintendent

JL:sc

Cc: Pacifica School District, Board of Trustees

Thomas F. Casey III, County Counsel



PORTOLA VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

4575 Alpine Road • Portola Valley, CA 94028 Phone: (650) 851-1777 • Fax: (650) 851-3700 • www.pvsd.net

Anne E. Campbell, Superintendent

Board of Trustees

Donna Carano

Donald Collat

Teresa Godfrey

Karen Jordan

Ray Villareal

October 12, 2006

Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center; 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Dear Judge Hall,

The Portola Valley School District has received and reviewed the Grand Jury Report of July 19, 2006 regarding Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts. We respond to the Findings and Recommendations of the Grand Jury as follows:

Findings

The Portola Valley School District has an active parent-staff emergency preparedness committee that meets monthly. The committee has reviewed the Grand Jury's Findings and is pleased to report that our school district has:

- Plans for caring for children in case of emergency.
- Plans for coping with a wide variety of emergency scenarios.
- Semi-annual plan reviews with school staffs and monthly drills that focus on different emergency scenarios.
- Staff training on a regular basis.
- Supplies on-hand to deal with students for up to 72 hours in case of emergency.
- Multiple communication options, including automated phone calling, radio station broadcasts, and land-line/cellular telephones.
- Radio communication with local public service agencies.
- Students who are informed and who have participated in emergency drills.
- Release plans where students are released only to parents or other authorized adults.

Page 2

• A variety of special preparations including walkie-talkies, emergency bags for every classroom, food and water for 72 hours, and emergency release information.

Recommendations

The Portola Valley School District responds as follows to the Grand Jury's Recommendations:

Recommendation #1: This recommendation has been implemented. Our staff reviews emergency plans throughout the school year and receives training on an on-going basis. In addition, multiple drills with various emergency scenarios are held several times during the school year.

Recommendation #2: This recommendation has been implemented. Three different walkie-talkie systems are currently in-place. Every teacher has an emergency walkie-talkie in his/her classroom; all school/district offices have a radio communication system providing communication among all sites; the community emergency preparedness group (CERP) is prepared to set up radio communications with public safety agencies in the event of a disaster.

Recommendation #3: This recommendation has been implemented. An automated telephone calling system is in place and parents have been informed that the school will care for students in an emergency until a parent or other authorized adult can pick the child up from school.

I hope this letter satisfactorily responds to the findings and recommendations of the Grand Jury. If you should require additional information, please be sure to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Anne E. Campbell

Karen Jordan, Clerk – Portola Valley Governing Board cc:

AEC:a



Ravenswood City School District STUDENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

2120 Euclid Avenue, East Palo Alto, California 94303 (650) 329-2800 Fax (650) 323-1072

Board Members: Jacqueline Greene, President Adam Mitchell, Vice President Todd Gaviglio, Clerk M.F. Chester Palesoo, Member Marcelino López, Member

September 29, 2006

Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Dear Hon. Stephen M. Hall,

This letter is in response to the 2005-2006 Grand Jury Report containing findings and recommendations pertaining to Ravenswood City School District's emergency and disaster plans. We, the respondent, agree with the findings and have established a timeline and procedures to implement the recommendations. Please see the enclosed document outlining our actions in attention to this matter.

If you should have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at (650) 329-2800 ex. 163.

Sincerely,

Lisa Pruitt
Director of Student Services

Ravenswood City School District

Emergency Preparedness Timeline 2006-2007

October:

Sites:

- 1. Review Emergency Plan and update phone tree
- 2. Update Emergency Response Teams and begin training staff
- 3. Through a school newsletter or student handbook, inform parents how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster
- 4. Inventory emergency supplies, place order for missing items
- 5. Develop a schedule of drills in accordance with Ed Code

District:

- 1. Complete Emergency Quick Reference Flip Chart and submit to printer
- 2. Begin investigating emergency communication system that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phones
- 3. Develop district Emergency Committee and establish regular meeting times and member responsibilities
- 4. Develop a district Emergency Plan and update phone trees
- 5. Identify community agencies and establish contacts

November:

Sites:

- 1. Complete Emergency Response Teams training
- 2. Complete emergency supply kits for classroom and campus
- 3. Conduct on-going drills

District:

- 1. Distribute Emergency Quick Reference Flip Chart to all staff
- 2. Complete district Emergency Plan, including plan for emergency communication system
- 3. Define funding sources for emergency communication system
- 4. Create Emergency Response Teams and begin training district team
- 5. Begin meeting with community agencies
- 6. Emergency Committee meeting

Ravenswood City School District

December:

Sites:

- 1. Conduct on-going drills
- 2. Review procedures in Flip-Chart
 - Directed Evacuation
 - Room Clear
 - Lock Down (during and recovering from)

District:

- 1. Implement Emergency Communication System, train personnel
- 2. Complete Emergency Response Teams training of district team
- 3. Attend community forums on related topics
- 4. Emergency Committee meeting

January:

Sites:

- 1. Conduct on-going drills
- 2. Review procedures in Flip-Chart
 - Dangerous Person on Campus
 - Suicide Threat or Attempt
 - Kidnapping
 - Death, Serious Injury or Medical Condition on Campus

District:

- 1. Attend community forums on related topics
- 2. Emergency Committee meeting
- 3. Begin developing Psychological First Binder

February:

Sites:

- 1. Conduct on-going drills
- 2. Review procedures in Flip-Chart
 - Fire or Explosion
 - Hazardous Material Spill
 - Bomb or Suspicious Device
 - Bomb Threat

District:

- 1. Attend community forums on related topics
- 2. Emergency Committee meeting
- 3. Continue development of Psychological First Aid Binder

Ravenswood City School District

March:

Sites:

- 1. Conduct on-going drills
- 2. Review procedures in Flip-Chart
 - a. Earthquake
 - b. Civil Disturbance on Campus

District:

- 1. Attend community forums on related topics
- 2. Emergency Committee meeting
- 3. Psychological First Aid Binder to print

April:

Sites:

- 1. Conduct on-going drills
- 2. Review procedures in Flip-Chart
 - c. Severe Weather Conditions
 - d. Electrical Outages
 - e. Spilled Body Fluids
 - f. Child Abuse Reporting

District:

- 1. Attend community forums on related topics
- 2. Emergency Committee meeting
- 3. Develop timeline for training on Psychological First Aid Binder for the 07/08 school year

May:


Sites:

- 1. Conduct on-going drills
- 2. Review procedures in Flip-Chart
 - g. Medical Assessment Procedures
 - h. Communicating with the Media

i.

District:

- 1. Attend community forums on related topics
- 2. Emergency Committee meeting
 - begin planning District Disaster Drill for 07/08



REDWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

750 Bradford Street Redwood City, California 94063-1727 (650) 423-2200

Board of Education
Dennis McBride, President
Patricia Wright, Vice-President
Shelly Masur, Clerk
Craig Baker
Maria Diaz-Slocum

November 7, 2006

Jan Christensen Superintendent

Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center; 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Re: Response to Grand Jury, Disaster Preparedness

Dear Judge Hall:

Below is the district's response to the Grand Jury's recommendations:

At the beginning of each school year, the district should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel:

The district agrees with this finding. Principals have been notified to review their plans with their staff during the month of October.

Emergency Communications Equipment at each site to communicate directly with the police and fire departments.

The district does not have the ability to communicate with the fire department or police directly. In the event of an emergency, the schools have the ability communicate with each other via an emergency radio that can be plugged into a car power source enabling communication site to site or with the base radio

The district has a 24 hour monitoring system in case of fire. The system notifies the fire department automatically 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.

Districts should inform parents where to get emergency information

The district agrees. Parents are informed annually that the district will inform radio stations KNBR 680 or KIQI 1010 regarding emergency information.

Very truly yours,

Raul A. Parungao, Chief Business Official

APPENDIX A

School Emergency Preparedness Survey Questionnaire

The San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury, in response to elevated concerns regarding Disaster Preparedness, is approaching government agencies across the entire county to assess the level of preparedness. The following questionnaire is designed specifically for public school districts, and is designed to be easy to answer and return with little, if any, need for attached documents.

| | | | it each facility ho g hours when chil | | |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--|------------------|----------|
| With what t | ypes of dis | sasters is your | school district pre | esently prepared | to deal? |
| Please list. | Fire, | Earthquake, | Intruder | | |

- 2. Are all sites/facilities equally prepared? Yes If not, is there a plan to ensure that?
- 3. When was the plan at each facility last reviewed or updated? <u>Annually</u>
 How frequently is there a drill or practice? <u>Fire-Monthly</u>, <u>Earthquake-Yearly</u>, <u>Intruder Discussed</u>, not drilled.
- 4. Are there assigned responsibilities for full time regular employees to care for children in the event there is a disaster during school hours when children are in attendance? Yes
- 5. Have the employees been trained and understand their responsibilities? Yes When? Annually
- 6. How long is each facility prepared to hold students?
 24 hours
- 7. What are the provisions for communication with parents or guardians and subsequent release of students if an emergency occurs during school hours? (describe briefly) They are to listen to specific radio stations, they do not call the school, they come to the school
- 8. Do students understand the expectations of the plan, specifically those relating to being released from school? Yes

What differences are there for high school, middle school, or elementary schools?

Are there any preparations unique to your district which you would like to have shared with other schools and districts?

BOARD OF TRUSTEES
Russ Hanley
William J. Henderson Jr.
Christopher P. Kiely
Kevin J. Martinez
James R. Prescott



ADMINISTRATION David E. Hutt, Ed.D. Superintendent

Luther Aaberge Chief Business Officer

Response to Recommendation

- The respondent agrees with the finding. As a matter of District practice, each school site and District Emergency Plan is reviewed and updated in accordance with California Office of Emergency Services recommendations. Each update is reviewed with staff and school site council. Updates in training are provided, as warranted.
- 2. The respondent agrees with the finding. Investigation and research into establishing a "HAM" radio network, linked to similar City disaster response, is taking place.
- 3. The respondent agrees with the finding. In the event of loss of telephone communications, parents and families are advised, "Please Note: In the event of an emergency situation San Bruno Park School District will attempt to communicate via KCBS AM 740 on your radio." Copies of each site's disaster plan are available for public review. Presentations are made at parent-teacher organization meetings and school site council meetings.

To: Honorable Stephen M. Hall

Judge of the Superior Court

Hall of Justice

400 County Center-2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

From: San Carlos School District

Patricia Wool, Superintendent

826 Chestnut Street San Carlos, CA 94070

RE: Grand Jury Report: Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo

County Public School Districts

Recommendations by the Grand Jury:

1. At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.

San Carlos S.D. agrees with this finding. It has been implemented by a review in the fall at the district and site levels.

2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

San Carlos S.D. agrees with this finding. In conjunction with the San Carlos Police, we have implemented this finding by presently using the SC Police two-way radio system. We have this system at the District Office and at all sites; this system is connected to the police dispatch.

3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

San Carlos S. D. agrees with this finding. We presently send a letter to parents on emergency procedures in the first day of school packet. We discuss that we will keep students at their school until a parent arrives, but we also list an alternate site should the first building be unsafe. We do this if telephone communications are not possible.

SAN MATEO-FOSTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISASTER PREPAREDENESS

Response to Grand Jury October 2, 2006

Findings/Responses

All districts have a plan for caring for children in the event of an emergency. Several
districts are in the process of revising and standardizing the plan for all schools they
administer.

SMFCSD agrees.

• Among the potential disasters for which schools prepare are fire, earthquake, intruder-on-campus, bomb threat, hazardous materials incident, civil defense threat, windstorm, or flood.

SMFCSD agrees. SMFCSD has drafted a pandemic health response as well.

 Most districts review their emergency plans annually and conduct periodic drills or simulations with students, ranging from monthly fire drills to annual or semi-annual earthquake or intruder drills. Reviews are conducted at various times of the year.

SMFCSD agrees. A District wide response team has also conducted drills at the District level. Monthly site drills are conducted and timed.

• Several schools can communicate with police or fire departments directly by radio and do not depend on telephones.

SMFCSD agrees: SMFCSD is working with Foster City to put this in place for 2006-2007. The agencies are testing reliability.

• High schools release older students (grades 9-12) on their own; elementary schools release students only to parents or other designated individuals.

SMFCSD agrees: SMFCSD is a K-8 School District and releases students to parents or designated individuals.

- Among special preparations are the following:
 - -Walkie-talkies to connect to emergency lines-SMFCSD Nextel radios are in place. Alternate radio connections to the police and fire departments are in place and are being tested for reliability.
 - -Each classroom equipped with an emergency backpack containing basic first aid supplies and emergency contact and release information for each child in the class-SMFCSD has an extensive plan and supplies in place at each site
 - -Students brining their own disaster kits with boxed drinks, granola bars, and

other snacks to be stored at the school-SMFCSD sites are equipped through District or PTA resources. These supplies need to be inventoried and updated each year.

-Availability of a book of student photographs for emergency personnel to use-SMFCSD has a photo book of each child at each site.

Conclusions

The Grand Jury found that all school districts have emergency plans for the care of students should a disaster or other emergency occur during school hours. There is variation among the plans, and several areas that warrant attention are outlined in the following recommendations. The Grand Jury concluded that it was important that parents be aware of emergency plans.

Recommendations

The Grand Jury recommends that all school districts in San Mateo County review the following recommendations and comply as soon as possible.

1. At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its Emergency plans and update training of personnel.

SMFCSD agrees. This is reasonable and SMFCSD is in compliance.

- 2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments
 - SMFCSD agrees. SMFCSD falls under the jurisdiction of two cities and the county. The school district has worked with Foster City to establish a system for radio communications. One antenna has been installed on the top of a local high rise building. Another is being installed on top of the new District Office site in Foster City. The agencies will be testing the reliability of these systems in the near future.
- 3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

This information is available to parents on the District website. A hard copy of directions will be given to parents in the parent notification packet distributed at the beginning of each school year.

APPENDIX A

School Emergency Preparedness Survey Questionnaire

The San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury, in response to elevated concerns regarding Disaster Preparedness, is approaching government agencies across the entire county to assess the level of preparedness. The following questionnaire is designed specifically for public school districts, and is designed to be easy to answer and return with little, if any, need for attached documents.

| designed to be easy to answer and return with little, if any, need for attached documents. | |
|--|--|
| 1. | Is there an emergency plan in place at each facility housing children in your District should a disaster occur during hours when children are present?Yes |
| | With what types of disasters is your school district presently prepared to deal? |
| | Earthquake, bomb, intruder on campus, civil defense threat, hazardous materials, landslide and flood. |
| 2. | Are all sites/facilities equally prepared? <u>Yes</u> If not, is there a plan to ensure that? |
| | All sites have and EOC plan for the 206-2007 School Year. |
| 3. | When was the plan at each facility last reviewed or updated? September, 2006 How frequently is there a drill or practice? Monthly |
| 4. | Are there assigned responsibilities for full time regular employees to care for Children in the event there is a disaster during school hours when children are in attendance? Yes |
| 5. | Have the employees been trained and understand their responsibilities? Yes When? November 10, 2005 and monthly |
| 6. | How long is each facility prepared to hold students? |
| 7. | What are the provisions for communication with parents or guardians and Subsequent release of students if an emergency occurs during schools hours? (describe briefly) |
| | A message to parents is posted on the website. Designated drop-off and pick-up locations have been identified at each site. Directions to parents about what to do in an emergency were also sent home with all students at the beginning of the |

school year.

8. Do students understand the expectations of the plan, specifically those relating to being released from school? <u>Yes</u>

What differences are there for high school, middle school, or elementary schools?

SMFCSD has no high school students. Middle school students may be expected to serve as runners.

Are there any preparations unique to your district which you would like to have shared with other schools and districts?

- 1. Emergency Operations Center at the District level is developed and has been shared with local private schools and local response agencies.
- 2. The District has developed a district-wide template to allow all school Incident Command Centers to have a consistent look and feel. These plans are shared with the police and fire departments and are NIMS and SIMS compliant. Each site has been provided with color coded vests, scripts and directions for various command center roles and training with table top exercises.
- 3. The District has conducted Search and Rescue training and Table Top exercises district wide and at individual sites.
- 4. The District has invited other school districts and local response agencies (fire, police, mental health, Red Cross, etc.) to periodic update meetings.
- 5. City and governmental agencies have invited school officials to their response trainings and drills when appropriate.

San Mateo Union High School District

Samuel Johnson, Jr., Superintendent Mark A. Avelar, Deputy Superintendent Ethel C. Konopka, Associate Supt. Human Resources-Admin. Serv. Elizabeth McManus, Associate Supt. Business Services



650 North Delaware Street - San Mateo, CA 94401-1795 (650) 558-2299 (650) 762-0249 FAX

October 2, 2006

Honorable Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

RE: San Mateo Union High School Distsrict Response to the Grand Jury Disaster Preparedness Report

Grand Jury Findings

1. All districts have a plan for caring for children in the event of an emergency. Several districts are in the process of revising and standardizing the plan for all schools they administer.

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District agrees with this finding. The District has had a comprehensive Disaster Plan since 1999. This living document is reviewed annually at each of the high schools. The Disaster Plan has been has been revised at the district level in 2002 and again in 2006 level to comply with "lessons learned" in actual drills and in table-top exercises.

Grand Jury Findings

2. Among the potential disasters for which schools prepare are fire, earthquake, intruder on-campus, bomb threat, hazardous materials incident, civil defense threat, windstorm, or flood.

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District agrees with this finding. Each site conducts monthly emergency drills that include earthquake drills, fire drills, secure the building drills. Hazardous materials drills, windstorm and flood drills are practiced as part of our participation in county-wide drills and table-top drills. Staff are trained and equipped with the necessary emergency equipment and supplies. Donations of medical supplies, food and water, and search and rescue tools are definitely in need. Each site is prepared to "go it alone" for a 72 hour period.

Grand Jury Findings

3. Most districts review their emergency plans annually and conduct periodic drills or simulations with students, ranging from monthly fire drills to annual or semi-annual earthquake or intruder drills. Reviews are conducted at various times of the year.

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District agrees with this finding. Through monthly meetings with the Administrative Assistant Principals, the District plans and updates the yearly district-wide Disaster Drill

as well as the monthly site drills. District-suggested protocol is to have fire, earthquake, and intruder drills in the first month of each semester. Every month thereafter should have one of these drills. The District works to coordinate it's yearly district-wide drill with the San Mateo County Sheriff's Office of Emergency Services and Homeland Security. The District also participates in County Table-Top drills and workshops that examine and define all stakeholder response to new disasters, i.e. Avian Flu, Chemical Spills, Biological Warfare.

Grand Jury Findings

4. The full-time employees of all districts are trained and understand their assigned responsibilities to care for children in the event of an emergency. Training is conducted annually.

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District agrees with this finding. The District annually receives an updated Emergency Organization Chart from each school site and the District Office Emergency Operations Center. Annual training and review of responsibilities takes place at the beginning of each school year.

Grand Jury Findings

5. With respect to holding students for an extended period of time, there is wide variation among districts. The time periods range from a few hours to three days (72 hours). Several school districts have committed to holding students "until parents arrive to pick up children."

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District agrees with this finding. The District is committed to care for students and staff until parents or other agencies arrive. Sites recognize the need and know to network within the surrounding community for food, water, clothing, and medical supplies as needed.

Grand Jury Findings

6. Most schools expect to communicate with parents through cellular phones or land-line telephones. A variety of phone trees, automated calling systems, and radio station broadcasts were mentioned as alternate communication methods.

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District agrees with this finding. The District will use its automated dialer, Connect-ED, to call numbers listed on a student's emergency card in order to ensure communication with all parents/guardians in the District.

Grand Jury Findings

7. Several schools can communicate with police or fire departments directly by radio and do not depend on telephones.

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District disagrees partially with this finding. Mills High School can communicate directly to Millbrae Police Department by radio. The District's six other school sites cannot communicate directly by radio to their respective police or fire departments. Each school site, the District Office, the MOT Offices, and the Transportation Offices have a Base Station and a charged backup battery that is capable of operating up to a week without an outside power source for communications to the fire and police departments. In addition the District phone system is capable of operating up to four hours on a battery backup. Each of the 45 district school buses is outfitted to act as a base station as well. The school buses operate on the District's designated emergency channel only, and not on the local school channels.

Grand Jury Findings

8. Nearly all districts inform their students of what to expect in case of an emergency or disaster.

<u>District Response</u>

The San Mateo Union High School District agrees with this finding. The District implements monthly school site drills and an annual district-wide drill to inform and prepare students in case of an emergency or disaster.

Grand Jury Findings

9. High schools release older students (grades 9-12) on their own; elementary schools release students only to parents or other designated individuals.

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District wholly disagrees with this finding. The District releases students to those persons listed on the Student Emergency Card, preferably in person; however, telephone identification may be accepted in certain circumstances.

Grand Jury Findings

- 10. Among special preparations are the following:
 - o Walkie-talkies to connect to emergency lines
 - o Each classroom equipped with an emergency backpack containing basic first aid supplies and emergency contact and release information for each child in the class
 - o Students bringing their own disaster kits with boxed drinks, granola bars, and other snacks to be stored at the school
 - o Availability of a book of student photographs for emergency personnel to use

District Response

The San Mateo Union High School District agrees with this finding. The recommendation has been implemented.

- The District uses a two-channel walkie-talkie to communicate with the school sites
- District classrooms have access to the necessary basic first aid supplies and emergency contact and release information. Each classroom has an Emergency Bag with basic first aid supplies and tools
- The District provides site-stored water and food to students
- The District provides site books of student photographs for emergency personnel to use
- Each site and the EOC has detailed maps of Water, Electrical, and Gas lines

Recommendations

Grand Jury Recommendations

1. At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.

District Response

The recommendation has been implemented. Through monthly meetings with the Administrative Assistant Principals, the District plans and updates the yearly district-wide Disaster Drill as well as the monthly site drills. District-suggested protocol is to have fire, earthquake, and intruder drills in the first month of each semester. Every month thereafter should have one of these drills.

Grand Jury Recommendations

2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

<u>District Response</u>

The recommendation has been implemented. Each school site, the District Office, the MOT Offices, and the Transportation Offices have a Base Station and a charged backup battery that is capable of operating up to a week without an outside power source for communications to the fire and police departments. In addition the District phone system is capable of operating up to four hours on a battery backup. Each of the 45 district school buses is outfitted to act as a Base Station as well. The school buses operate on the District's designated emergency channel only and not on the local school channels.

Grand Jury Recommendations

3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

District Response

The recommendation has been implemented. The District publishes two letters in all seven school's annual Family Handbook. The letters are in English and Spanish. It is requested of all families that they: not call the school, and tune into KCBS 740 am for directions and current conditions. They are told that their children will be cared for under the supervision of district personnel until a parent or authorized adult arrives to pick them up.

Sincerely,

Samuel Johnson, Jr.
Superintendent and
Secretary to the Board

SJ:cam

Sequoia Union High School District

480 JAMES AVENUE, REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA 94062-1098

Administrative Offices (650) 369-1412

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Don Gibson Gordon Lewin Olivia G. Martinez Lorraine Rumley Sally D. Stewart

PAT GEMMA Superintendent

October 23, 2006

Refer to: PRG 1289

Hon. Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court County of San Mateo Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Re: 2005-06 Grand Jury

Dear Judge Hall:

The following is the Sequoia Union High School District's response to the findings of the 2005-06 Grand Jury:

At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.

We agree with the findings and have implemented district-level coordination with site cooperation.

Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

We agree with this recommendation, and the communication system is fully implemented and operational.

Each district should inform parents, in writing, that the telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

We agree with this recommendation and are currently implementing consistent, district-wide communications to parents.

Sincerely,

Patrick R. Gemma, Ed.D. District Superintendent

cc: Board of Trustees



SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

398 B Street, South San Francisco, CA 94080-4423 (650) 877-8700 Fax: (650) 583-4717 www.ssfusd.k12.ca.us

SUPERINTENDENTBarbara Olds

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Romolo J. Braschi Emanuele N. Damonte Shirlee Hoch Raymond Latham Philip J. Weise

August 8, 2006

The Honorable Stephen M. Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center, 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

RE: Disaster Preparedness in San Mateo County Public School Districts Grand Jury

Report

Dear Judge Hall

Below are South San Francisco Unified School District's comments relative to the findings and recommendations in the 2005-2006 Grand Jury report of July 19, 2006, pertaining to our school district:

Recommendations

1. At the beginning of each school year each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.

District agrees with finding: Yes

Action: The District has established an Emergency Planning Committee with representatives from each school and from District administration to review the emergency plans and to coordinate training of personnel. Each school is required to conduct the state-mandated drills. In addition, schools are now required to conduct at least one orientation to the site Emergency Plan and an emergency exercise and/or simulation, effective 2006-2007. (See Appendix A, Question 3)

2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

District agrees with finding: Yes

Action: The District maintains an emergency radio system that provides reliable communications between District office, school sites, and with police and fire. The County Office of Emergency Services also gave each school in the county an All Hazards Emergency Radio to enable schools to monitor emergency situations and countywide responses.

 Each district should inform parents in writing that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

District agrees with finding: Yes

Action: Schools provide information in writing to parents regarding emergency preparedness and response and require a signed emergency card for each student as part of the back-to-school activities. Information for parents on emergency preparedness is also posted on the District web site.

Appendix A

School Emergency Preparedness Survey Questionnaire

The San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury, in response to elevated concerns regarding Disaster Preparedness, is approaching government agencies across the entire county to assess the level of preparedness. The following questionnaire is designed specifically for public school districts, and is designed to be easy to answer and return with little, if any, need for attached documents.

District: South San Francisco Unified School District

1. Is there an emergency plan in place at each facility housing children in your district should a disaster occur during hours when children are present?

Yes.

What types of disasters is your school district presently prepared to deal? Please list.

| Active Shooter on Campus |
|--------------------------------|
| Bomb Threat |
| Chemical Accident |
| Death of Student of Staff |
| Earthquake |
| Explosion or Gas Leak |
| Fallen Aircraft |
| Fire |
| Flood |
| Hazardous Material Release |
| Hostile Visitor or Staff |
| Intruder or Nearby Disturbance |
| Medical Emergencies |
| Missing Child, Abduction |
| Sexual Assault/Harassment |
| Smog Episodes |
| Suspicious Package |
| Utilities Failure |
| Weather (Severe) |

2. Are all sites/facilities equally prepared?

No

If not, is there a plan to ensure that?

All schools have emergency plans that are aligned with the District planning template, the state's Comprehensive Safe School Plan requirements, and the District-wide emergency policies and procedures. All schools received a first aid kit and emergency supplies that meet school-wide needs summer of 2006. However, elementary schools also have classroom first aid and emergency kits and most require students to bring an emergency food package at the start of each year. One middle school has acquired and stocked a 40-foot emergency storage unit.

Effective 2006-2007, all schools will be required to address issues of supplies storage and care and shelter for 72 hours in their site emergency plans.

3. When was the plan at each facility last reviewed or updated?

The District updated its District Emergency Handbook 2005-2006, which will be reviewed annually by the newly created Emergency Planning Committee starting 2006-2007.

Each school is required to update their site Emergency Plans annually. These plans are reviewed annually by the Supervisor of Student Attendance and Welfare.

How frequently is there a drill or practice?

As required by state law, schools conduct the following drills:

Fire Drills:

Elementary SchoolsMiddle SchoolsOne each month

High Schools
 One each semester.

Drop Drills (Earthquake)

Elementary Schools
 Middle Schools
 High Schools
 One each quarter
 One each semester

Lock Down Drills

All schools
 Two each year

Starting with the 2006-2007 school year, each school will be required to add two emergency training sessions to the mandated drill schedule:

- At least one orientation to the site's Safe School and Emergency Management Plan per year.
- At least one desktop emergency exercise or simulation with staff.

4. Are there assigned responsibilities for full time regular employees to care for children in the event there is a disaster during school hours when children are in attendance?

Yes. The District has adopted the State Emergency Management System (SEMS) that is now in place at all sites.

5. Have the employees been trained and understand their responsibilities? When?

Yes. Beginning in the fall 2006, each site is required to conduct at least one training session at the beginning of the school year to review staff responsibilities and the site emergency plan. In addition, 40 site personnel were certified in First Aid and CPR by the South San Francisco Fire Department.

All schools sent teams to a district-wide emergency training in February 2005. In 2006-2007, five of the fifteen schools conducted staff trainings as the District Emergency Handbook and the site Emergency Plans were being developed. Each school has identified an Emergency Facilitator who received training in April 2006 and who will be responsible for site training on an annual basis.

6. How long is each facility prepared to hold students?

An average of 12 - 24 hours. Not all schools have resolved the challenges of providing food and water for a longer period of time.

7. What are the provisions for communication with parents or guardians and subsequent release of students if an emergency occurs during school hours? (Describe briefly.)

<u>Communications:</u> The District communicates with parents through the District newsletter, mailings, standard phone calls, an automated calling system, and an emergency web page. Schools communicate internally through the emergency radio system. Each school also has a County All-Hazards Radio to keep informed of countywide emergency warnings and response activities.

<u>Release of students:</u> The District established policies and procedures for the release of students following an emergency effective 2006. These procedures are posted on the District emergency website and will be distributed to parents during the back-to-school events in the fall 2007.

8. Do students understand the expectations of the plan, specifically those relating to being released from school?

Yes.

What differences are there for high school, middle school, or elementary school?

None. It is basic District policy that schools should remain in operation and students cared for until regular dismissal time during an emergency or crisis, to the extent possible. The Superintendent or designee must approve any early release. In the case of an emergency where students might be in danger if they

The Honorable Stephen M. Hall August 8, 2006 Page Two

> leave school grounds, school staff remain responsible for student welfare until the Superintendent or designee or the emergency responders indicate it is safe to release students. Schools will then release students only to parents, guardians or other adults who are authorized on the students' emergency cards.

Are there any preparations unique to your district, which you would like to have shared with other schools and districts?

The District has just completed a comprehensive emergency management planning and implementation initiative funded through an 18-month Emergency Response and Crisis Management grant from the Office of Safe and Drug Free Schools, U.S. Department of Education. The District is willing to share the products developed under this grant: the District Emergency Handbook, the Site Emergency Planning Template, and the three training modules that include an introduction to SEMS, an earthquake desktop exercise, and a staff emergency simulation.

Sincerely

Barbara Olds Superintendent

cc: Board of Trustees Thomas F. Casey III John C. Fitton

Barbara Olds



WOODSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

3195 Woodside Road

Woodside, California 94062-2598

Daniel A. Vinson, Ed.D., Superintendent-Principal Robert S. Sherman III, Assistant Principal Timothy L. Hanretty, Assistant Superintendent

(650) 851-1571 FAX (650) 851-5577 (650) 851-1777 FAX (650) 851-3700

October 25, 2006

Honorable Judge Hall Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center: 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

Dear Judge Hall,

Concerning the findings of the Grand Jury regarding disaster preparedness: We agree with the findings presented and currently implement them in these ways:

1. At the beginning of each school year, each district or school should review its emergency plans and update training of personnel.

The school has prepared a school safety plan. It is distributed to all teachers and staff at the beginning of the new school year. Classroom emergency kits are refurbished prior to the beginning of the school year. Sections are reviewed at faculty meetings throughout the school year.

2. Each school site housing children should have emergency communication equipment that will operate independently of the land-line or cellular phone systems to guarantee reliable communication with police and fire departments.

We have a battery-operated radio we can use to contact town Emergency Operations Centers, located both at Portola Valley and Woodside. It also puts us in communication with citizens involved with CERRP, our local Citizens Emergency Preparedness group.

3. Each district should inform parents, in writing, that telephone communications might not be possible in an emergency and should spell out how their school will provide for children in the event of a major disaster.

Parents are informed, in writing, at the beginning of the school year about our emergency procedures regarding safe pick up of children and general disaster procedures. Telephone procedures are spelled out in that plan. The District's emergency plans are reviewed by the Administration at the first PTA meeting of the year.

The school works closely with the San Mateo Sheriff's Department and Woodside Fire Department planning, conducting drills, and coordinating emergency response teams. We are prepared to serve as a Red Cross emergency shelter, and have performed that role in the past.

Students in the middle school have been trained to help in a variety of roles, ranging from messenger runners, to attendance takers, and counselors. Emergency supplies are located in various classrooms as well as two centralized containers on campus, away from all buildings. These supplies are updated annually.

Very Truly Yours,

Dan Vinson

Superintendent/Principal

cc: Bettina Pike, President Woodside School Board